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[57] ABSTRACT

The present invention allows for calibration of a scanning probe microscope under computer control. The present invention comprehends either the removal of nonlinear artifacts in the microscope output data after measurement has been taken (a off-line process), or the correction of nonlinear movements in the microscope scanner such that the scanner moves in a linear fashion during measurement (a real time process). The real time process may be operated in both an open-loop and a closed-loop process. The processes of the present invention uses an average cross-section of the scan in order to simplify the calculation and to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Interpolation methods and centroid calculations are used to locate features on the scanned sample to subpixel precision. Comparison of the measured scan feature positions with the known topography of the scanned calibration specimen enable the present invention to assemble a calibrated length scale which may be used to correct individual feature positions in a full two-dimensional scan, each scan line in a two-dimensional image, or an average cross-section of the two-dimensional scan.
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1. **Peak Detection**
   - Set a threshold height on the average profile.
   - Identify peaks as regions of consecutive points which exceed threshold.
   - (Optional) Find the zero crossing of the 1st derivative within each region. This validates the peak and estimates the feature position.

2. **Feature Measurement**
   - For each peak, set boundaries of integration by finding interpolated threshold crossings on both sides of the peak.
   - Calculate feature position as centroid or other weighted function using the boundaries of integration.
   - Calculate pitch as difference of successive feature positions, report as pitch (x) where x = midpoint between features.
USE PROCESSED PROFILE DATA OF CALIBRATION SPECIMEN (PITCH vs POSITION (x))

COMPUTE DIFFERENTIAL NON-LINEARITY
\[ K(x) = \frac{\text{NOMINAL PITCH}}{\text{OBSERVED PITCH (x)}} \]

FIT \( K(x) \) TO A CONTINUOUS FUNCTION \( K_{\text{fit}} \) (e.g. POLYNOMIAL OF ORDER 3)

COMPUTE CALIBRATED LENGTH SCALE
\[ L(x) = \int_{0}^{x} K_{\text{fit}}(x) \, dx \]

\( \{X_i\} = \text{RAW POSITION OF FEATURE i} \)

\[ L_i = L(X_i) = \text{CALIBRATED FEATURE POSITIONS} \]

\[ \text{PITCH}_i = L_{i+1} - L_i = \text{CALIBRATED PITCH VALUE} \]

Fig. 11
SELECT SCAN SIZE

CONDUCT 2 DIMENSIONAL SCAN ON CALIBRATION SPECIMEN

PERFORM PROFILE AVERAGING ON FULL SCAN RESULTS TO GENERATE 1 DIMENSIONAL PROFILE

APPLY PEAK DETECTION AND FEATURE MEASUREMENT SOFTWARE TO 1 DIMENSIONAL PROFILE DATA

ADJUST THE SCAN DRIVE PARAMETERS THAT CONTROL LINEARITY

REPEAT STEPS 162 THROUGH 174 UNTIL NON-LINEARITIES ARE MINIMIZED

ADJUST SCANNER SENSITIVITY PARAMETERS AND REPEAT STEPS 162 - 176 UNTIL MEAN PITCH = STATED PITCH

**Fig. 12**
SET SCAN DRIVE PARAMETERS TO PRODUCE A VOLTAGE DRIVE FUNCTION THAT IS LINEAR IN TIME

CONDUCT 2 DIMENSIONAL SCAN ON CALIBRATION SAMPLE

GENERATE 1-DIMENSIONAL AVERAGE PROFILE

APPLY PEAK DETECTION AND FEATURE MEASUREMENT SOFTWARE TO PROFILE

FIT A NON-LINEAR FUNCTION (CONSTANT + EXPONENTIAL) TO THE PROCESSED PROFILE DATA (PITCH vs POSITION)

NORMALIZE FIT PARAMETERS

COMPUTE APPROXIMATE MAG AND ARG VALUES

Fig. 13
EVALUATE TILT AND CURVATURE
OF PROCESSED PROFILE DATA
(PITCH vs POSITION)

IF TILTED, SELECT A NEW VALUE
OF MAG₀

IF CURVED, SELECT A NEW VALUE
OF ARG

Fig. 14
CALCULATE NORMALIZED VOLTAGE DRIVE FUNCTION FROM MAG, ARG, SCAN SIZE

CALCULATE NORMALIZED PITCH DEVIATIONS FROM PROCESSED PROFILE DATA

ADD FUNCTIONS FROM STEPS 1 AND 2

CURVE FIT SUM TO CONSTANT + EXPONENTIAL

NORMALIZE FIT PARAMETERS

COMPUTE NEW MAG AND ARG VALUES

Fig. 15
Fig. 20

Fig. 21
SELECT SCAN SIZE

BEGIN SCANNING

RAW SENSOR OUTPUT
\( R(t) \) IS INPUT TO
THE CONTROLLER

A SENSOR PROCESSOR
CONVERTS \( R(t) \)
TO THE PROCESSED SENSOR
OUTPUT \( S(t) \)

\( S(t) \) IS SUPPOSED
TO EQUAL
PROBE POSITION

THE CONTROLLER RETRIEVES
THE PROGRAMMED
TRAJECTORY \( PX(t) \)

A COMPARATOR COMPUTES
\( D = S(t) - PX(t) \)

THE FEEDBACK PROCESSOR
PRODUCES DRIVE
VOLTAGE \( V(t) \)

THE NEW DRIVE VOLTAGE IS
SENT TO THE SCANNER

Fig. 22
SELECT SCAN SIZE
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RAW SENSOR OUTPUT R(t) IS INPUT TO THE CONTROLLER

A SENSOR PROCESSOR CONVERTS R(t) TO THE PROCESSED SENSOR OUTPUT S(t)

S(t) IS SUPPOSED TO EQUAL PROBE POSITION

THE CALIBRATED LENGTH SCALE FOR THIS SCAN SIZE, L, IS APPLIED YIELDING CORRECTED SENSOR OUTPUT \( S_{corr}(t) = L(s) \)

THE CONTROLLER RETRIEVES THE PROGRAMMED TRAJECTORY PX(t)

A COMPARATOR COMPUTES \( D_{corr} = S_{corr}(t) - PX(t) \)

THE FEEDBACK PROCESSOR PRODUCES DRIVE VOLTAGE V(t)

THE NEW DRIVE VOLTAGE SENT TO THE SCANNER

ACTUAL PROBE TRAJECTORY X(t) ≈ PROGRAMMED TRAJECTORY PX(t)

SCAN DATA IS MORE LINEAR

Fig. 23
Evaluate tilt and curvature of processed profile data (pitch vs position).

If tilted upward, increase the value of the quadratic coefficient in L.

If curved so that the center of the graph is higher than the mean of the ends, increase the cubic coefficient in L.

If the observed mean is greater than the nominal pitch, the linear coefficient in L should be decreased.
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SELECT SCAN SIZE 1 \((u_1)\)  

SCAN CALIBRATION SPECIMEN  

Determine calibrated length scale \(L(u_1, x)\) for this scan size \((u_1)\)  

\[
L(u_1, x) = a(u_1) + b(u_1)x + c(u_1)x^2 + \ldots
data={}
\]

RESULT IS SET OF PARAMETERS \(\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1\}\)  

SELECT SCAN SIZE 2 \((u_2)\) AND REPEAT ABOVE STEPS  

RESULT IS SET OF PARAMETERS \(\{a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2\}\)  

(OPTIONAL) SELECT ADDITIONAL SCAN SIZES AND COMPUTE THE SET OF PARAMETERS AT EACH SIZE  

FOR EACH PARAMETER, FIT ITS OBSERVED VALUES TO A POLYNOMIAL OF ORDER \(n\)  

\[
a(u) \text{ IS FIT TO } i + ju + ku^2 + \ldots\]

FOR ANY NEW SCAN SIZE \(u\), COMPUTE THE APPROPRIATE PARAMETERS \(a(u), b(u), \ldots\)  

THIS YIELDS THE CALIBRATED LENGTH SCALE \(L(u, x)\) FOR THE NEW SCAN SIZE \(u\)  

\[
L(u, x) = a(u) + b(u)x + c(u)x^2 + \ldots\]
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\[ Z = Z_{\text{WEDGE}} - Z_{\text{REFERENCE}} \]
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HIGH PRECISION CALIBRATION AND FEATURE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM FOR A SCANNING PROBE MICROSCOPE

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to scanning probe microscopes and, more particularly, to a high precision calibration and feature measurement system for a scanning probe microscope.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In a scanning probe microscope, such as a scanning tunneling microscope (SPM), a scanning near-field optical microscope (SNOM) or an atomic force microscope (AFM), for example, a probe is scanned across the surface of a sample to determine properties of the surface such as topography or magnetic field strength, so that these properties can be displayed for viewing. Alternately, the sample can be scanned across a fixed probe. Some of these microscopes, i.e., the SPM and the AFM, have been constructed with the ability to resolve individual atoms by either scanning the probe or the sample. The scanner which provides the motion is usually a piezoelectric device adapted for motion in all three dimensions, i.e. in the X–Y plane and in the vertical (Z-axis) direction. As can be appreciated, if one is to resolve movement of a probe to the atomic level, the actuating mechanism must be stable and accurately moveable in small increments.

Three-dimensional scanners have been made in the form of a tube whose probe-or-sample-carrying end can be made to deflect in the X, Y and Z directions through the application of voltages to various electrodes on the tube. As depicted in simplified form in FIGS. 1 and 2, the scanner 10 has a plurality of electrodes (not shown) to which voltages are applied to cause scanning action. The core of the scanner 10 is formed from a tube of a piezoelectric material. The scanner 10 is attached to a structure at 12 and has a free end at 14 to which the probe 16 (or sample) is attached. By applying a voltage to certain electrodes, the scanner 10 can be made to elongate and shorten, as indicated by the dashed arrows, and thereby create motion in the Z-axis. Likewise, by applying a voltage to other electrodes, the scanner 10 can be made to deflect the free end 14 to one side or the other, or both, and thereby create motion in the X-and Y-axes as indicated by the ghosted positions of FIG. 1 and 2. As those skilled in the art will readily recognize, a variety of other scanner configurations (tripods, bimorph benders, flexure stages, etc.) and materials (electrostrictive, etc.) can be used within the scope and spirit of the present invention, even though the above background description refers to piezoelectric tube scanners.

With acceptance and contemporary usage of such devices, it has become important to make scanning probe microscopes which have large scan ranges (for example, up to 150 microns) and good mechanical stability. The motion of the piezoelectric scanner is essentially proportional to the electric field in the piezoelectric material, which is equal to the voltage across the material divided by the thickness of the tube. As is known in the art, complementary voltages x, –x and y, –y can be applied to the various scanning electrodes to give larger scan ranges and more symmetry. While such techniques can provide the larger scan range of movement desired, the inherent nature of the piezoelectric material used to form the tubes begins to create problems of its own as the scan distance (i.e. the amount of bending created in the tube) is increased.

Scanning is typically accomplished in a so-called "raster" fashion such as that of the electron beam which creates a television picture; that is, the probe 16 (or sample) moves in, for example, the X direction at a high rate, and in the perpendicular direction, i.e. the Y direction, at a low rate to trace out a path such as that indicated as 28 in FIG. 3. Data about the height, magnetic field, temperature, etc. of the surface 30 of the sample 18 is then collected as the probe 16 moves along. In these scanners, the X and Y position of the probe 16 is inferred from the voltages which are applied to the electrodes on the piezoelectric material of the tube. In the prior art, these scan voltages are sometimes triangle functions in X and Y (vs. time) to produce, if the deflection of the scanner is linear with voltage, a raster scan of the probe in both the X and Y directions. Often, DC voltages are also added to the scan electrodes to position the raster scan over different areas of the sample surface; that is, to select where on the sample the center position of the raster scan will be. The triangle function has the feature that the voltage, and therefore presumably the probe position, changes at a constant rate so that the probe moves at a constant velocity back and forth in the X direction, while moving at a lower constant velocity up and down in the Y direction. This constant velocity then allows data taken at constant time intervals (as the probe moves in X and Y) to also be spaced at constant distance intervals. Since computers can conveniently take data at constant time intervals, they can then store and/or plot the data in a two-dimensional array representing position, i.e., in an X–Y array. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the motion in X and Y will usually consist of small steps rather than a linear ramp because the scan voltages are changed in finite increments, as is convenient under computer control.

As the field of scanning probe microscopes has progressed and larger scans of up to 150 microns have been produced so that, for example, the properties of manufactured objects such as optical disks and magnetic recording heads can be measured, the inherent properties of the piezoelectric materials employed in the tubes has begun to affect the above-described scanning process adversely. This is because, unfortunately, piezoelectric material, especially that of high sensitivity, is not a linear material; that is, the deflection of the material is not linear with the voltage applied to the electrodes. Also, the material exhibits hysteresis so that reversals in the direction in which the voltage is changing do not produce a proportional reversal in the direction in which the position of the probe changes. Thus, a triangular voltage in time applied to the electrodes on the piezoelectric material in the manner of the prior art as described above does not produce a linear scan in time. This is illustrated in FIG. 4, where the position of a probe on the scanner as a function of the driving voltage for a one dimensional scan is graphed in simplified form. Notice that as the direction of the voltage changes at the ends of the scan, the position does not trace out the same path. This property of piezoelectric materials is well known and is classified as either hysteresis or "creep".

Sensitivity variation with voltage and hysteresis make it such that the position of the probe is not linear with the voltage applied to the electrodes on the piezoelectric material. Such non-linearity in probe position is transferred to, and therefore corrupts, the topographical data produced by the probe. In the prior art, several different methods exist which reduce the inaccuracies caused by the fundamental non-linearity of the scanner material. U.S. Pat. No. 5,051, 646 to Ellings et al. describes the use of a non-linear voltage profile (where each half-cycle of the former triangular wave
The present invention comprehends dividing the realtime calibration process into a coarse adjustment process and a fine-tuning process. Further aspects of the present invention include a process for linearizing any scan size after making calibration measurements on just two or more scan sizes; a process for measuring feature separations in the measured sample along arbitrary directions; a process for determining and calibrating Z axis linearity; process for correction two- and three-dimensional distortions, including cross-coupling of X and Y axes, or X, Y and Z axes; and the design of special calibration specimens in order to enable three-dimensional distortions to be calibrated.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a side elevational view of a prior art piezoelectric scanner.
FIG. 2 is a top plan view of the scanner of FIG. 1.
FIG. 3 is a schematic diagram illustrating a trace pattern used for measurement of a sample by the scanner of FIG. 1.
FIG. 4 is a graph of scanner position vs. voltage illustrating the hysteresis exhibited by the scanner of FIG. 1.
FIG. 5 is a schematic block diagram of a scanning probe microscope and associated computing equipment of the present invention.
FIG. 6 is a schematic process diagram of an off-line calibration process of the present invention.
FIG. 7 is a schematic process diagram of a first embodiment measurement process incorporating off-line data correction.
FIG. 8 is a schematic process diagram of a profile averaging process of the present invention.
FIG. 9 is a schematic representation of an alternate profile direction and averaging line construction of the present invention.
FIG. 10 is a schematic process diagram of a peak detection and feature measurement process of the present invention.
FIG. 11 is a schematic process diagram of a calibrated length scale determination process of the present invention.
FIG. 12 is a schematic process diagram of a fine-tuning realtime calibration process of the present invention.
FIG. 13 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a coarse adjustment realtime calibration process of the present invention.
FIG. 14 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a first embodiment method for computing Mag and Arg values according to the present invention.
FIG. 15 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a second embodiment method for computing Mag and Arg values according to the present invention.
FIG. 16 is a graph of Z height vs. X position, illustrating a peak detection and feature measurement process of the present invention.
FIG. 17 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a course adjustment and fine tuning realtime calibration procedure of the present invention.
FIG. 18 is a graph of Z height vs. X position, illustrating a peak boundary detection process of the present invention.
FIG. 19 is a graph of scanner sensitivity vs. scan size.
FIG. 20 is a graph of Z height vs. X position, illustrating an alternative feature location process of the present invention.
FIG. 21 is a schematic block diagram of a prior art closed-loop scan axis control system.
FIG. 22 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a control process performed by the prior art control system of FIG. 21.

FIG. 23 is a schematic process diagram illustrating an improved closed-loop controller operation process of the present invention.

FIG. 24 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a closed-loop fine-tuning realtime calibration process of the present invention.

FIG. 25 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a process for linearizing any scan size without requiring the use of a calibration specimen at that scan size.

FIG. 26 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a process for calibrating X and Y feature positions and for measuring distances along arbitrary directions.

FIG. 27 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a process for determining Z axis linearity.

FIG. 28 is an X-Y scan exhibiting pin cushion distortion.

FIGS. 29a and b are schematic process diagrams illustrating a process for analyzing and correcting two-dimensional distortions.

FIG. 30 is an enlarged view of a portion of FIG. 29.

FIG. 31 is a top plan view and a side elevational view of a two-dimensional calibration array of the present invention.

FIG. 32 is a top plan view of a calibration specimen used for correcting Z axis coupling to the X and Y axes.

FIG. 33 is a cross-sectional view of the calibration specimen of FIG. 32.

FIG. 34 is a schematic process diagram illustrating a second embodiment process for determining Z axis linearity.

FIG. 35 is a graph of a height vs. x position, illustrating the process of FIG. 34.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

For the purposes of promoting an understanding of the principles of the invention, reference will now be made to the embodiment illustrated in the drawings and specific language will be used to describe the same. It will nevertheless be understood that no limitation of the scope of the invention is thereby intended, such alterations and further modifications in the illustrated device, and such further applications of the principles of the invention as illustrated therein being contemplated as would normally occur to one skilled in the art to which the invention relates.

The present invention allows for calibration of a scanning probe microscope under computer control. The present invention comprehends either the removal of non-linear artifacts in the microscope output data after measurement has been taken (a so-called off-line process), or the correction of non-linear movements in the microscope scanner such that the scanner moves in a linear fashion during measurement (a so-called real-time process). FIG. 5 illustrates a schematic block diagram of the basic apparatus required to accomplish either of the embodiments of the present invention. A scanning probe microscope is indicated generally at 40 and includes a support 42 which is adapted to hold a sample 18 to be analyzed. A scanner 10 is mounted above the sample 18 and is coupled to control circuitry 44 which is operative to apply the necessary voltage waveforms to the scanner 10 electrodes in order to cause scanning motion thereof. The above components of the scanning probe microscope 40 are well known in the art.

A computer 46 is also provided and is coupled to the control circuitry 44 via communication lines 48 and 50.

Measurement data obtained by the scanner 10 is transmitted to the computer 46 via communication line 48, preferably through an analog-to-digital converter which is formed as part of the control circuitry 44 or as part as the input circuitry of the computer 46. Data and instructions may also be transmitted from the computer 46 to the control circuitry 44 via the communication line 50, preferably through a digital-to-analog converter which is formed as either part of the computer 46 output circuitry or as part of the control circuitry 44. The computer 46 may thus instruct the control circuitry 44 as to the voltage waveform which should be applied to the scanner 10 in order to produce linear motion thereof. Finally, the computer 46 is able to communicate the measurement data to the user via a display 52 (such as a CRT or printer) via the communication line 54.

Referring now to FIG. 6, there is illustrated a general process flow diagram for a first embodiment off-line process of the present invention. In the process of FIG. 6, the scanning probe microscope 40 is allowed to scan the sample 18 with its inherent, uncorrected, non-linear motion. The measurement data thus produced is corrupted with artifacts from such non-linear motion, however this data may be corrected by the present invention prior to being displayed to the user. The present invention does this by making a measurement on a known calibration specimen and then comparing the resultant measurement data array to the expected data. This comparison will reveal the non-linear artifacts present in the measurement data, which may be recorded and later removed from subsequent measurement results.

The process begins at step 60 by selecting a desired scan size, which is preferably in the range of 1-100 μm. The calibration must be performed for the same scan size which will be used to make subsequent measurements. For purposes of illustration hereinafter, it will be assumed that the scan data consists of 512 lines containing 512 pixels each. The data value at each point corresponds to the Z height of the surface. At step 62, a calibration specimen is used as the sample 18 and a two-dimensional scan is performed on the calibration specimen, resulting in a two-dimensional data array 64. The calibration specimen may be any material which has a precisely known topography. For example, a precision diffraction grating may be used as the calibration specimen.

Non-linearities are exposed in the present process, including subtle non-linearities which would otherwise be hidden, through the generation and processing of a cross-sectional average line profile of the scan results. This is accomplished by performing a profile averaging operation at step 66 on the full scan results in order to generate a one-dimensional profile 68. A significant part of the improvement in signal-to-noise ratio comes from the fact that averaging removes or reduces the amplitude of edge and surface irregularities found on the calibration features. Such irregularities are an intrinsic characteristic of manufactured calibration specimens and their presence would otherwise degrade the accuracy of subsequent calculations. The one-dimensional profile 68 is basically a cross-sectional average of the full two-dimensional data array 64, and comprises a 1×512 point data array as illustrated in the example. The profile averaging process is illustrated in greater detail hereinafter with reference to FIGS. 8 and 9.

After the one-dimensional profile data array 68 has been generated, peak detection and feature measurement processes are applied to the one-dimensional data array at step 70, in order to generate the processed profile data array 72. The process of step 70 is described in greater detail here-
in below with respect to FIGS. 10, 16 and 18. The application of the peak detection and feature measurement processes at step 70 function to highlight the non-linearities present in the measurement data. This allows a linearization function to be computed at step 74 and a calibrated length scale for the selected scan size to be generated at step 76. The calibrated length scale is then applied to the processed profile data 72 at step 78 in order to generate the calibrated profile data 80. The processes of steps 74–78 are described in greater detail herein below with respect to FIG. 11. The process then verifies the self-consistency and precision of the calibrated profile data at step 82. Self-consistency is determined by computing the mean of the calibrated pitch data, which are shown graphically at 80. When this mean value is substantially equal to the stated pitch of the calibration sample, then the calibration results are said to be self-consistent. The precision of the calibrated profile data is determined by comparing its standard deviation with a preselected standard deviation goal.

After the process of FIG. 6 has been completed on a calibration specimen, the calibration data may then be applied to correct measurement data for an unknown specimen, as illustrated in FIG. 7. First, a two-dimensional scan is made of an unknown specimen at step 84, thereby producing a two-dimensional measurement data array 86. Because the motion of the scanner 10 has not been corrected, the two-dimensional data array 86 contains the same non-linearity artifacts that were present in the calibration two-dimensional data array 64. Profile averaging on the full two-dimensional scan results 86 is performed at step 88 in order to generate a one-dimensional profile 90. This step is analogous to step 66 of FIG. 6, and is described in greater detail herein below with respect to FIGS. 8 and 9. Next, the peak detection and feature measurement processes are applied to the one-dimensional profile data 90 at step 92, in order to produce the processed profile data 94. This step is analogous to step 70 of FIG. 6, and is described in greater detail herein below with respect to FIGS. 10, 16 and 18. At step 96, the calibrated length scale which was determined during the calibration feature of FIG. 6, is applied to the processed profile data 94 in order to remove the nonlinearities therefrom. The result is the calibrated profile data 98. The feature measurement results for the unknown specimen are then processed and reported to the user at step 100.

The calibrated length scale may be applied only to the processed profile data 94, thereby allowing an average cross-section (corrected for non-linear artifacts) of the scan to be reported to the user. Alternatively, the calibrated length scale may be applied to every line of the scan so that a corrected two-dimensional scan may be displayed to the user. Rendering of a corrected two-dimensional scan from this data requires interpolation, so that the data points are equally spaced. It is usually most important to the user that the feature locations of the measurement sample be found very precisely and that their feature positions be corrected. The data is preferably delivered to the user as a tabular list of feature positions, as well as such graphs and statistics as may be derived from the table.

Referring to FIG. 8, the profile averaging process of steps 66 (FIG. 6) and 88 (FIG. 7) is described in greater detail. The process begins at step 102 where the direction of the profile cross-section is chosen, as indicated schematically at 104. The data averaging will occur perpendicular to this cross-section line. Hypothetical averaging lines are then constructed in the data array 64, 86 at step 106, perpendicular to the profile direction and at one pixel intervals. This is illustrated schematically at 108. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the profile direction and averaging lines may be tilted with respect to the X axis, as illustrated at 116 in FIG. 9, or it may be parallel to the Y axis. This allows the profile averaging process to be optimized when the sample has been scanned off of the desired axis. At step 110, for each averaging line i, the mean height value $z_i$ is computed according to the following formula:

$$z_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_{ij}$$

where $N$ equals the number of scan lines in the Y direction. This array of $z_i$ height values forms the one-dimensional profile at step 112, as illustrated schematically at step 114. This one-dimensional profile corresponds to the profile 68 (FIG. 6) and 90 (FIG. 7).

Referring now to FIGS. 10, 16 and 18, the peak detection process of steps 70 (FIG. 6) and 92 (FIG. 7) is illustrated in greater detail. The peak detection process is performed on the one-dimensional profile 68 (FIG. 6) or 90 (FIG. 7). This profile represents a cross-section of the two-dimensional data array expressed as a one-dimensional array of $z$ height values as a function of $x$ position values (corresponding to equally spaced pixels). The array is searched for peaks by setting a threshold height on the one-dimensional average profile at step 118, as illustrated schematically at 120. Peaks are then identified at step 122 as regions of consecutive points which exceed this threshold height, as illustrated schematically at 124. The peak may optionally be validated and the feature position estimated at step 126 by determining the zero crossing of the first derivative within each of the peak regions. This is illustrated schematically at 128. Once the peaks have been identified in the profile data array, the topographical features of the sample may be measured.

The feature measurement process of steps 70 (FIG. 6) and 92 (FIG. 7) is illustrated in greater detail beginning at step 130, where the boundaries of integration are set for each peak by finding the interpolated threshold crossings on both sides of the peak, as illustrated schematically at 132. As illustrated in greater detail in FIG. 18, for any given peak, the left boundary $x_L$ is found by a linear interpolation between two adjacent points: the pixel $300$ whose $z$ value is at or below the threshold 129 and the pixel $302$ whose $z$ value is at or above the threshold 129. The linear interpolation consists of these steps: draw a straight line connecting the two points 300 and 302 and find the intersection 304 of that line with the threshold level 129. The right boundary $x_R$ is found by an analogous procedure. The peak pixel is then located as the local maximum of the subarray extending between the points $x_L$ and $x_R$. Each peak represents a feature of the sample being measured. At step 134, the feature position (or line center, LC) is preferably calculated as the $x$-coordinate of the centroid of the shaded area $A$ shown in FIG. 16. This shaded area is bounded by the cross-section curve $z(x)$ and the baseline 129, which intersects $z(x)$ at $x_L$ and $x_R$. As is well known, the formula for the centroid is:

$$LC = \frac{1}{A} \int_{x_L}^{x_R} x \, dz = \frac{1}{A} \int_{x_L}^{x_R} x \, dz + \frac{1}{A} \int_{x_L}^{x_R} \Delta x \, dz$$

where:

$$A = \int_{x_L}^{x_R} \Delta z \, dz$$

where:

$\Delta z = z(x) - \text{baseline}$
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A represents the region of integration as well as the numerical value of the shaded area.

The centroid formula above is a weighted average of \( x \) values of points on the cross-section profile near the peak of the feature, in which the weights are linearly related to the \( z \) values on the profile. Other weighted averages may also be used. This is also illustrated schematically at 136. Step 138 then calculates the pitch as the difference between successive feature positions. As described previously, a set of centroid locations is determined, \( LC(n) \), where \( n \) = feature number. Then for each pair of adjacent centroid positions \( LC(n), LC(n+1) \) there is an associated pitch value \( (P(n)) \) which is defined as the distance between the two centroid positions \( (P(n) = LC(n+1) - LC(n)) \) so that for a section with \( M \) features, there will be \( M-1 \) pitch values. Each pitch value is then associated with a position \( x(P(n)) \) which is defined as the midpoint between the two features defining the pitch \( (x(P(n)) = (LC(n+1) - LC(n))/2) \). This is illustrated schematically at 140 and 142.

Referring now to Fig. 11, the steps 74-78 (Fig. 6) and 96 (Fig. 7) are illustrated in greater detail. Step 144 retrieves the processed profile data of the calibration specimen calculated according to the process of Fig. 10. This data represents the pitch of the calibration specimen as a function of the position \( x \). Because the pitch of the calibration sample is theoretically known, the differential non-linearity may be computed at step 146 as follows:

\[
K(x) = \frac{\text{nominal pitch (theoretical)}}{\text{observed pitch}(x) \text{ (measured)}}
\]

At step 148, the \( K(x) \) function fits to a continuous function \( K_p(x) \), which may be, for example, a third order polynomial. This is illustrated schematically at 150. The calibrated length scale \( L(x) \) is then computed at step 152 as follows:

\[
L(x) = \int_0^x K_p(x) \, dx
\]

Therefore, each data point is nominally associated with an “\( x \)” position, there being a one-to-one correspondence between the “\( x \)” positions and the pixels in the one-dimensional array or in each line of the two-dimensional array. The calibrated length scale \( L(x) \) will give the true position in the scan for any data point nominally associated with a position \( x \). This true position results from removing the non-linear artifacts, and describes where the scanner \( 10 \) was actually located (i.e. the position \( L(x) \)) when the data point was taken, as opposed to where the scanner \( 10 \) was supposed to be located (i.e. the position \( x \)).

The calibration specimen, such as a diffraction grating, will have identifiable features thereon, such as the lines on the grating surface. The position of each of these features can be identified (via the process of Fig. 10) and, if the features repeat in a regular pattern, the pitch between successive features may be measured. As illustrated at step 154, if \( x_i \) is the raw position of feature \( i \), then the calibrated feature position \( L_i \) may be defined at step 156 as follows:

\[
L_p(x_i)
\]

Finally, step 158 calculates the calibrated pitch values for each feature \( i \) as follows:

\[
P(i) = L_{i+1} - L_i
\]

The invention has heretofore been illustrated with a calibration specimen that has precisely known, equally-spaced features (such as a diffraction grating) and a measurement (unknown) specimen which may or may not have equally-spaced features. It is possible to use the above procedure to derive the calibrated length scale \( L(x) \) using a calibration specimen that has unequally-spaced features, provided that the distances are accurately known. As long as the theoretical distance between a given pair of features is known, the differential non-linearity \( K \) can be calculated.

Referring now to Fig. 17, there is illustrated a second embodiment of the present invention in which the non-linear motion of the scanner \( 10 \) is detected and this information is used to adjust that voltage waveform applied to the scanner \( 10 \) electrodes in order to compensate for the non-linear motion. As a result, after calibration of the scanning probe microscope \( 40 \), subsequent measurements made on unknown samples do not have non-linear artifacts associated with the measurement data. This is referred to as a real time process because the non-linearities are corrected as the measurements are made.

FIG. 17 gives an overview of the real time process. As initially assembled, the scanning probe microscope \( 40 \) may produce non-linear scans \( 310 \). The manufacturer’s calibration procedure \( 312 \) improves the system so that scans are more linear, however non-linear artifacts remain in the measurement data. Details of two fine tuning processes \( 314 \) and \( 316 \) which result in linear scans \( 318 \) are described hereinbelow. As an alternative to the microscope manufacturer’s calibration procedure, a coarse adjustment procedure \( 320 \) of the present invention may be used. After coarse adjustment, linear scans can be obtained by performing either of the fine tuning methods \( 314 \) and \( 316 \).

FIG. 13 gives details of the coarse adjustment procedure \( 320 \). It begins with a system that may be badly non-linear. At step 184, the scan drive parameters used by the control circuitry \( 44 \) are set to produce a voltage drive function that is linear in time (i.e. a triangle waveform). A two-dimensional scan of the calibration sample is then performed at step 186 in order to produce the two-dimensional data array \( 164 \). A one-dimensional average profile \( 168 \) is then generated at step 190, and the peak detection and feature measurement processes are applied to the one-dimensional profile \( 168 \) at step 192. This results in the processed profile data \( 194 \). Profile \( 194 \) is created with no correction to the scan drive waveform. A linear scan drive voltage is applied which then results in the profile showing the full non-linearity of the scanner \( 10 \). This corresponds to the Mag and Arg values being set equal to zero.

At step 196, a non-linear function (a constant plus an exponential term) is fit to the processed profile data \( 194 \). This is illustrated schematically at 198. The non-linear function has the form:

\[
D \cdot e^{E \cdot F}
\]

\( D, E, F \) are adjustable parameters. The fit parameters of the non-linear function are then normalized at step 200, as illustrated schematically at 202. The fit and normalization procedure of steps 196-202 involves normalizing the scan position as follows:

\[
\frac{x_{\text{norm}}}{x_{\text{norm}}} = \frac{x}{\text{Scan Size}}
\]
Next, the pitch is normalized:

\[
\text{Normalized pitch} = \frac{\text{observed pitch}}{\text{mean of observed pitch values}}
\]

Then, the normalized pitch as a function of normalized scan position, \(x_{\text{norm}}\), is fit to the non-linear function. Approximate Mag and Arg values are then computed at step 204 as follows:

\[
\text{Arg} = F
\]

\[
\text{Mag} = B \times E/D = \text{the derated correction magnitude for the current scan size Mag}_{0} \text{ and Mag}_{1}, \text{relate to B using:}
\]

\[
B = S (\text{Mag}_{0} - \text{Mag}_{1} \times \ln(S))
\]

Where

\[
S = \frac{\text{Scan Size in Volts}}{\text{Max Scan Size in Volts}}
\]

The scan waveform \(V(t)\) is preferably shown as follows:

\[
V(t) = C \left[ 1 + \frac{B}{\text{Arg}} \left( 1 - e^{-\text{Arg} \cdot t} \right) \right]
\]

where

\[
C = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{B}{\text{Arg}} \left( 1 - e^{-\text{Arg}} \right)}
\]

The argument (Arg) determines the exponential decay of the non-linear term. Both \(\text{Mag}_{0}\) and \(\text{Mag}_{1}\) determine the amount of the exponential term to use, and how that amount varies with scan size. These three parameters need to be determined for both X and the Y axes. Other prior art microscopes may use parameters with different names, but which perform analogous functions.

FIG. 14 is a first method of accomplishing step 174. As illustrated in FIG. 14, the Mag and Arg values may be fine tuned to remove any tilt and curvature, beginning at step 206. As shown in FIG. 208, the graph is tilted upward when the right end is higher than the left end. The proper action is to reduce the amplitude of the exponential. For a given scan size, this is easily controlled by reducing \(\text{Mag}_{0}\) at step 210. It is also possible to achieve the same result by adjusting \(\text{Mag}_{1}\). If the center of the graph is higher than the mean of the left and right ends, as shown at 212, the proper action is to reduce the Arg value at step 214.

Referring once again to FIG. 12, the fine tuning process continues at step 176, where steps 162-164 are repeated until the non-linearities in the processed profile data 172 are minimized, as illustrated schematically at 178. Non-linearities are minimized when the following criteria are met for the pitch data displayed in 178:

- the standard deviation approximates the standard deviation seen at the self-consistency check (step 82)
- the tilt and curvature of graph 178 are not significant relative to the random variations. This can be evaluated either by visual inspection of the graph or by a regression analysis (least squares fit to a 2nd-order polynomial), in which the linear and quadratic coefficients are not significantly different from 0 (using the customary statistical tests).

At step 180, the scanner sensitivity parameters are adjusted and steps 162-176 are repeated until the mean pitch (calculated according to the process of FIG. 10) is equal to the stated pitch of the calibration specimen. This is illustrated schematically at 182.

Scanner sensitivity is defined as (Distance moved)/(Applied Voltage). Because of the non-linearities of the piezoelectric material, scanner sensitivity is a function of scan size (which can be expressed either in length units or voltage). As shown in FIG. 19, it is customary to express the sensitivity variation as a linear function of voltage, regardless of whether a higher order polynomial might be more accurate. A parameter called "Sensitivity" may be defined as the actual sensitivity observed for the largest scan size. A second parameter called "Sensitivity Derating" is defined as the slope of the sensitivity graph. (The derating is customarily defined as a positive number when the sensitivity increases with voltage.) The SPM controller uses this linear function in order to select a total applied voltage needed to
obtain a given scan size. At step 178, if the mean pitch is greater than the nominal pitch, the proper action is to decrease the sensitivity calculated by the controller for that scan size. The user can do this by either reducing the ‘Sensitivity’ or increasing the ‘Sensitivity Derating’.

FIG. 15 shows a second fine tuning method of accomplishing step 174, the adjustment of Mag and Arg values. Recall that at step 162, the calibration specimen was scanned. To make that scan, the controller used the current values of Mag and Arg. At step 218, a normalized voltage drive function is calculated using the scan size and the current values of Mag and Arg and it is indicated schematically at 220. Normalized pitch deviations are then calculated at step 222 from the processed profile data 172. These deviations are illustrated schematically at step 224.

\[
\text{Normalized pitch deviation} = \frac{\text{nominal pitch} - \text{observed pitch}}{\text{nominal pitch}}
\]

Step 226 then adds the functions 220 and 222 together in order to produce the composite function 228. The composite function 228 is then fit to a non-linear function curve at step 230, as illustrated schematically at 232. The functional form is the same as is used in steps 196-202. The fit parameters of the non-linear function are then normalized at step 234 and new Mag and Arg values are computed at step 236. Normalization uses the same procedure as step 204.

It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the prior art feature positions (such as in U.S. Pat. No. 5,051,646 to Elings et al.) are measured with only 1 pixel resolution. In an image 512 pixels wide, a pitch value of 100 pixels can therefore be measured only to a precision of 1%, and the non-linearity can therefore be judged and corrected to 1% precision. In contrast, the feature measurement process of the present invention can measure pitch values to a precision of 1/20th pixel or better. The resolution is limited only by the absolute measurement capabilities of the microscope. Therefore, non-linearities can be detected and corrected on a correspondingly finer scale using the processes of the present invention.

An alternative process for locating features uses the edge position instead of the peak center position. As illustrated in FIG. 20, for any given peak, the approximate locations of its left and right edges \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) are determined to be at local maxima in the absolute value in the first derivative. For each edge, a saburra in the one-dimensional profile is then defined which falls within predetermined upper and lower height thresholds (e.g., a 10% threshold 131 and a 90% threshold 133) of the baseline to peak height. This saburra is then fit to a linear function \(z = mx + b\). The absolute edge of the peak is then calculated as the intersection of the linear fit function with a user selectable height threshold (e.g., the 50% height threshold 129). This process is then repeated on the opposite side of the peak in order to find the second edge \(x_2\). Pitch values are then calculated as the difference between positions of corresponding edges of consecutive features. Additional results of the edge location process are useful. The difference between first and second edges of a given feature is a measure of its width. The slope of the fit line for a given edge is a measure of the feature’s slope.

The concepts of the present invention may be used to design an improved closed-loop controller which outperforms the prior art controller 44. FIG. 21 illustrates a block-diagram overview of a prior art closed-loop scan axis control system. Only one axis is shown, for simplicity. A controller 44 receives raw sensor output, \(R(t)\) from a scanner 10 and sends a drive voltage \(V(t)\), to the scanner 10. In response to the drive voltage \(V(t)\), the scanner 10 produces probe trajectory \(X(t)\).

FIG. 22 illustrates the process performed by the prior art closed-loop controller 44. The scan size is first selected at 330 and the scan begins at 332. The input to the controller 4 is the raw sensor output \(R(t)\) at step 334. A sensor processor converts \(R(t)\) to the processed sensor output, \(S(t)\) at step 336, which is intended to equal the probe position \(X(t)\), as indicated at 338. The controller 44 retrieves the current value of the programmed trajectory \(PX(t)\) at step 340. \(S(t)\) and \(PX(t)\) are presented to a comparator, which computes

\[D(t) = S(t) - PX(t)\]

at step 342. A feedback processor examines current and earlier values of \(D\) in order to set the next increment of drive voltage \(V(t)\) applied to the scanner 10 at step 344; the objective of this feedback is to keep \(D\) close to 0. The output of the feedback processor is drive voltage \(v(t)\), which is sent to the scanner 10 at step 346.

The details of feedback methods are well-known in the prior art and will not be elaborated upon herein. It will be observed, however, that \(X(t) = PX(t)\), when \(S(X) = X\). For example, when \(S(X)\) is less than \(X\), the feedback will compensate by making \(X(t)\) greater than \(PX(t)\). Because the computer system stores data at equal intervals of \(PX\), the actual measured data are corrupted by this systematic error in \(S(X)\).

First, consider the offline correction method. As described above, the first embodiment off-line process (FIG. 6) is applied to correct the measurement data. In particular, an image of the calibration specimen at a selected scan size is obtained, an average cross-section is calculated, the feature positions are determined and the differential nonlinearity, \(K(x)\), the fit function \(Kft(x)\), and the calibrated length scale, \(L(x)\) are each computed. Now consider the realtime correction method of FIG. 17. Just as for the offline correction method, a calibrated length scale, \(L(X)\) is determined for a selected scan size. FIG. 23 illustrates a process performed by an improved closed-loop controller operated according to the current invention. The scan size is first selected at 348 and the scan begins at 350. The input to the controller at step 352 is the raw sensor output, \(R(t)\). A sensor processor converts \(R(t)\) to the processed sensor output, \(S(t)\) at step 354. As illustrated at 356, \(S(t)\) is supposed to equal the probe position. At step 358, the calibrated length scale \(L\) is applied, yielding the corrected sensor output

\[S_{corr}(t) = L \cdot S(t)\]

This correction step improves the accuracy of the controller of the present invention over the prior art controller 44. The controller retrieves the current value of the programmed trajectory \(PX(t)\) at step 360. \(S_{corr}(t)\) and \(PX(t)\) are presented to a comparator at step 362, which computes

\[D_{corr}(t) = S_{corr}(t) - PX(t)\]

The feedback processor examines current and earlier values of \(D_{corr}\) and produces drive voltage \(V(t)\) at step 364, which is sent to the scanner at step 366. The result is that the actual probe trajectory \(X(t)\) more closely resembles the programmed trajectory \(PX(t)\), as illustrated at 368. The scan data is more linear, as illustrated at 370.

It is desirable to check the accuracy of the scan produced using the improved controller of the present invention. If designed, accuracy can be further improved by a fine tuning process which is analogous with fine tuning process 314, which was described in relation to FIGS. 12 and 14 for an open-loop scanner. The only differences required for use of this process for a closed-loop controller are as follows:
The adjustable parameters (e.g., the coefficients a, b, c, ... of the various terms of a polynomial) of the calibrated length scale L take the place of the scan drive parameters.

The linear term in the calibrated length scale L affects the scanner sensitivity (mean calibration).

The adjustment process is slightly different and is described in detail in FIG. 24. At step 372-382, the tilt and curvature of the processed profile data are evaluated. If tilted upward, the value of the quadratic coefficient in L is increased at step 376, as illustrated at 378. If curved so that the center of the graph is higher than the mean of the left and right ends, then the value of the cubic coefficient in L is increased at step 380, as illustrated at 382. At step 384, the mean value of the pitch data is compared with the nominal value for the calibration specimen. If the observed mean is greater than the nominal pitch, the linear coefficient in L is decreased, as illustrated at 386.

The above process is one possible method for optimizing the parameters of the calibrated length scale L. It should be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that other methods of searching parameter space and selecting improved values are possible.

So far, the present description has mainly considered processed for improving linearity at individually selected scan sizes. The following describes a process for linearizing any scan size within the range of the scanner, without requiring use of the calibration specimen at that scan size. The calibrated length scale L is an analytic function, with a defined set of adjustable parameters. For example, in the third order polynomial a+bx+cx²+dx³, the set of parameter values (a, b, c, d) define the calibrated length scale at a given scan size. By determining this set of parameter values at two or more scan sizes, we can estimate (interpolate and extrapolate) the values of each parameter at other scan sizes.

FIG. 25 illustrates the process steps. At step 388, a first scan size U₁ is selected; then, at step 390, the calibration specimen is scanned. The calibrated length scale L is determined at step 400 using the process shown in FIG. 6. Note that L is expressed as a function of both scan size U and position within the scan X at step 402. That is, L is expressed as:

\[ L(U,X) = a(U) + b(U)X + c(U)X^2 + \ldots \]

where it is recognized that the adjustable parameters (or coefficients) a, b, c, ... are functions of the scan size.

The result of finding L is the set of parameters (a₁, b₁, c₁, ...), as illustrated at 404. At step 406, we repeat this process for a second scan size U₂ and obtain a second set of parameters (a₂, b₂, c₂, ...) at step 408.

This process may optionally be repeated at additional scan sizes at step 410. At step 412, the values of each parameter as a function of U are fit to a polynomial of order n (where n is restricted to being at least 1 less than the number of independent data points. That is n=1 for two scan sizes.) At step 414, each of the coefficients a, b, c, ... are expressed as functions of U, for example the function a(U)=a₁+U+a₂U²+... Analogous functions are obtained for the other parameters in L. At step 416, the parameters a(U), b(U), c(U), ... are computed for a newly-selected scan size U. At step 418, the calibrated length scale L(U,X) is computed using those parameters. This function L can be used to make offline corrections for data captured by any SPM, as described above in FIG. 7, where measured feature positions are corrected by applying the calibrated length scale. This function L can also be used to make a realtime correction for a closed-loop SPM, as described above in FIG. 23.

Next, a process for realtime linearization of any scan size of an open-loop scanner, without requiring calibration at that scan size, will be described. As noted above, in one type of prior art SPM, the open-loop control system provides nonlinear voltage increments \( \Delta(t) \) using the general functional form:

\[ \Delta(t) = C \times \text{Mag} \times e^{-at} \]

where normalization factors that are unimportant for this discussion are omitted. In the prior art, it is preferred that Arg be held fixed for all scan sizes, whereas Mag was varied with scan size using a nonlinear derating function. We have found that holding Arg constant results in significant errors. For example, when Arg is adjusted so as to minimize nonlinearity for a large scan size (e.g., 100 μm, as suggested in the prior art), smaller scan sizes (e.g., 10 μm) captured using that Arg value may have significant nonlinearity (e.g., 5% variation of pitch value with position in the image). In the improved process of the current invention, Arg varies with scan size, instead of being held fixed. Mag also varies with scan size, using the prior art derating function. Mag and Arg are optimized at two or more scan sizes using either of the fine-tuning processes 314 or 316. The values of Mag are fit to the nonlinear prior art derating function in order to determine the parameters Mag₁ and Mag₂. The values of Arg as a function of scan size are fit to a polynomial, preferably a linear function due to its simplicity. The Arg value to be used at any arbitrary scan size is obtained from its fit function.

In the above discussion, we have emphasized the measurement of feature spacings which are generally measured along either the X or Y axes. It is of interest to be able to measure the separation of features along other directions. FIG. 26 presents an improved process for correcting X and Y feature positions and measuring distances along arbitrary directions.

At step 422, a scan size is selected. At step 424, a two-dimensional standard is imaged, as illustrated at 426. This consists of any array of posts or pits. (Note: it is also possible to get the needed data by imaging a one-dimensional grating twice, once oriented with ridges parallel to Y and once with ridges parallel to X). At step 428, average cross-sections are calculated parallel to the X and Y axes, as illustrated at 430 and 432. At step 434, the process of FIG. 6 is used to calculate calibrated length scales independently for the X and Y axes.

At step 436, the unknown specimen is scanned and feature positions are determined. At step 438, the calibrated length scales are applied to the X and Y coordinates of the features. At step 440, the difference between two features is calculated using the standard metric (or formula), shown in 442.

In analogy with the concern about linearity of position data relating to the horizontal axes, X and Y, users of Scanning Probe Microscopes are concerned about the linearity of positioned data along the Z axis. In a prior art method, linearity of the Z axis is evaluated by mounting a planar object (e.g., a silicone wafer) on a wedge specimen, scanning an image and evaluating whether the obtained slope is planar (albeit tilted) or curved. A curved slope is taken to indicate that the Z axis scan is nonlinear. This prior art method has a deficiency: nonlinearity of the X axis scan can result in a curved slope, regardless of the quality of the Z axis scan.

FIG. 27 presents an improved process for checking Z axis linearity. The results can be used both for quality assurance
and to guide parameter adjustments so that realtime SPM performance is improved. These parameters adjustments are not described here in detail, but would be obvious to one skilled in the art, after consideration of the analogous process for a horizontal scan axis discussed hereinabove.

The process begins at step 444 by mounting the 1-dimensional (linear) grating on a wedge specimen holder, as illustrated at 446, so that the grating ridges are approximately perpendicular to the wedge direction. The specimen is oriented in the SPM so that the wedge direction is approximately parallel to either the X or the Y scan axis. The wedge angle is not critical; values in the range 0.3°–20° may be useful. At step 448, a 2-dimensional scan (image) is captured. An average profile, labeled the "Wedge Profile", is calculated at step 450, as illustrated at 452.

A reference profile is generated by either of two procedures. Procedure "A" is performed at step 454 by fitting the wedge profile Z(wedge) to a 3rd-order polynomial Z(3fit) and then calculating:

Reference profile = Z_{wedge} - Z_{ref}

That is, the reference profile consists of the residuals of this fit. These residuals represent the general undulations of the ridges plus the remaining surface roughness (which had already been reduced when the average profile was created).

Procedure "B" is an alternative to "A". The original 2-D image captured at step 448 is modified by doing a 3rd-order planefit at step 456. From the planefit image, an average cross-section profile is calculated. Both of the procedures "A" and "B" should yield the same result.

The reference profile produced by either of these procedures is shown at 460. At step 462, using the reference profile, the peak pixel (maximum value of Z) for each feature is located. At step 464, the Z value at each peak pixel in the reference profile and at each corresponding pixel in the wedge profile is recorded, as illustrated at 466 and 468. At step 470, the difference between each wedge/reference pixel pair is calculated as follows:

z = z_{wedge} - z_{ref}

This equation is equivalent to:

z = z_{pk}(x_i)

where x_{pk} is the x-coordinate of the peak pixel at the i-th feature on the reference profile. At step 472, for each consecutive pair of Z values, a ΔZ value is computed as follows:

ΔZ = Z_{m} - Z_{i}

At step 474, the ΔZ values are examined graphically as a function of feature number or scan position as illustrated at 476. Basic statistics such as mean and standard deviation are also calculated. Variations in ΔZ indicate differential nonlinearity in the Z axis of the scanner. The integral nonlinearity can be obtained by integrating the differential nonlinearity.

The following novel features contained in the above process yield unexpected and/or improved results.

By selecting as the calibration specimen a grating instead of a featureless surface, we create a staircase of definite dimensions. In particular, the X run and Z rise of each tread are constant because of the grating features are equally spaced. By referring to the features as discrete objects in this manner, we avoid any concern about the nonlinearity of the X axis. Thus, this method can be applied to any SPM, whether or not the X axis has been properly linearized.

By creating an untitled reference profile as described above, and then subtracting its peak pixel Z values from the wedge profile, we remove most of the effects of surface roughness. As a result, the ΔZ values contain much less noise.

FIG. 34 presents a second improved process for checking Z axis linearity. FIG. 35 shows functions and points used in this process. The data capture and calculation of the wedge profile, steps 590–596, are the same as the corresponding steps 444–452 in FIG. 27. The subsequent data treatment is different. At step 600, the wedge profile, Z_{wedge}, item 622 in FIG. 35 (z height as a function of x, the scan position) is fitted to a polynomial of order n, e.g., n=3. The order n is chosen small enough so that the general undulations of the ridges remain substantially in the residuals of the fit. This polynomial is designated Z_{fit} and is shown as 624 in FIG. 35 and is illustrated as 602 in FIG. 34. The reference profile, Z_{ref}, item 626, is calculated at step 604 as:

Z_{ref} = Z_{wedge} - Z_{pk}(x_i)

as illustrated at 606. Note that Z_{ref} and Z_{wedge} are discrete functions, whereas Z_{pk} is a continuous function. At step 608, the peak centroid locations, x_i (item 628) are calculated on the reference profile using the feature measurement process of FIG. 6, step 70, as illustrated at 610. At step 612, individual values, Z_i (item 630) of z height at each peak centroid location are calculated from the fit function as:

Z_i = Z_{pk}(x_i)

as illustrated at 614. This is analogous to step 472 (FIG. 27), but here we are using a more precise location and we are taking advantage of the fact that Z_{pk} is a continuous function. At step 616, for each consecutive pair of Z values, a ΔZ value (item 632) is computed as follows:

ΔZ = Z_{m} - Z_{i}

as illustrated at 618. The variations of ΔZ are examined at step 620, which is equivalent to steps 474 and 476 (FIG. 27), as described above.

The advantage of this method (compared with that described in FIG. 27) is that the feature locations are found more precisely, using the centroid location instead of the peak pixel. By reducing the uncertainty of the x-coordinate, the uncertainty of the z value is correspondingly reduced.

It should be obvious to those skilled in the art that other methods exist for improving the precision of the Z measurement used for evaluating Z axis linearity. For example, in the process of FIG. 27, instead of using just the Z value at the peak pixel, one could instead use the mean of Z values in a sub-array containing the peak pixel. Another alternative would be to fit segments of the reference and wedge profiles to a nonlinear function which models the peak shape and use the local maxima of those fit functions to provide calculated peak heights.

Hereinabove, we have considered the nonlinearity of the X axis to be independent of the nonlinearity of the Y axis. However, it is possible for cross-coupling to exist. FIG. 28 illustrates one type of distortion (called pin cushion distortion) which is due to cross-coupling. As drawn, the grating features 478 are approximately evenly spaced for Y values near the middle of the frame, but they are not evenly spaced near the top and bottom of the frame. A
1-dimensional analysis and correction procedure would not be adequate for this case.

FIG. 29 presents a process for analyzing and correcting 2-dimensional distortions. The process is an extension of the methods used hereinabove for the 1-dimensional case. A scan size is selected at step 480. A two-dimensional calibration standard (e.g., a square array of evenly-spaced pits or posts, as illustrated by 484) is then scanned at step 482. (In what follows, it is assumed that the structures are posts. It is obvious that the mathematical treatment of a pit specimen would be identical if the image data were first inverted, transforming z to −z).

At step 486, the posts are located by threshold validation. That is, all pixels which exceed a predetermined threshold height are located, as illustrated at 488 and 490. This is analogous to steps 118–124 in FIG. 10. At step 492, the pixels at the post boundary are explicitly identified, as illustrated at 496. The circled pixels illustrated at 494 are just above the threshold. At step 498, interpolated boundaries are determined, as illustrated at 500 and 502. For each of the circled pixels, we first determine which of the four nearest neighbor pixels is below the threshold. For each below-threshold pixel, we find one interpolated threshold-crossing point indicated by crosses at 500. This portion of the figure is shown at a larger size as FIG. 30. The polygon connecting all the threshold-crossing points and the surface above it defines the volume of integration for the centroid calculation at step 504. In this calculation, the X and Y coordinates of the feature's centroid are calculated separately, as illustrated at 506 and 508. The details of the centroid calculation are well-known in mathematics. At step 510, we have a 2-dimensional array of feature positions, as illustrated at 512. At step 514, raw pitch values are calculated as the observed separation between adjacent features, as illustrated at 516. Each pitch value is associated with the (x,y) coordinate of the midpoint between the two features. This is the analog of step 138 of FIG. 10. The result consists of two 2-dimensional arrays.

Continuing on FIG. 29b, at step 518, the differential nonlinearity arrays Kx(i,j) and Ky(i,j) are computed by using the general formula

\[ f(\alpha) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 \]

where the indicated terms are shown to third order, as illustrated at 522. In practice, it may be advantageous to use a subset of the terms shown or to extend the polynomial to an even higher order. At step 524, \( K_x \) is fitted to a polynomial of the same form. At step 526, calibrated length scales Lx, Ly are generated. Each length scale is a function of two variables and is calculated as a definite, double integral, as illustrated at 528 and as follows:

\[ L_x = L_x(x) = \int_0^1 \int_0^x K_x(x,y) dy dx \]

\[ L_y = L_y(y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^x K_y(x,y) dx dy \]

This calculation is analogous to step 152 of FIG. 11.

At step 530, self-consistency is checked by a process analogous to step 82 of FIG. 6. This involves applying the calibrated x and y length scales to the original feature positions, calculating corrected pitch values from the corrected positions, and examining the resulting arrays, both statistically and graphically. The two-dimensional arrays of pitch data can be viewed graphically by a variety of renderings, as will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

At step 532, an unknown specimen is scanned and (optionally) its features are located, as illustrated at 534. At step 536, the calibrated length scales are applied to correct the position of the individual features, or, alternatively, the entire image can be corrected pixel by pixel. This is illustrated at 538. The process of correcting an entire image would use interpolation so that the corrected image consists of an array of Z values on a grid of pixels equally spaced in x and y; such methods of interpolation are well-known to those skilled in the art.

A three-dimensional analog of the two-dimensional distortion correction process can be envisioned. This is important and useful because many technological objects, such as integrated circuits, require tight control over all three dimensions (length, height and width) of microscopic features. Most of the process steps for three-dimensional calibration and distortion correction are completely analogous to the two-dimensional process of FIG. 29, and this process can therefore be extended to three dimensions by those skilled in the art. Some specific elements of the 3-dimensional case are not obvious. These are as follows:

The calibration specimen must be a two-dimensional array of features. Instead of being a simple post, each feature has several levels. The height difference between the levels provides Z pitch information analogous to the x and y pitch information used hereinabove. FIG. 31 shows top and side views of one type of structure 540 that is suitable. As shown, the structure 540 is similar to a wedding cake. It consists of a series of layers of different diameter.

The x,y and z coordinates of each level of a given feature are determined as follows:

The image is partitioned into regions corresponding to each level. Level 0, the top, is approximately a circle. Regions for the lower levels are annuli.

The z coordinate of each level is the average of z values for the pixels within the corresponding region.

The x and y coordinates of each level are calculated as centroids, with integrals calculated over the corresponding regions. The reason for calculating the x,y coordinates for each level is that a known artifact of SPM scanners will cause the coordinates to be different at different heights, even when the underlying feature is completely symmetric. In order to correct for this artifact (z axis coupling to xy) xy data is required at each level.

For a structure having n levels, the x, y, z position data consists of n three-dimensional arrays containing the data for all features.

The process is completed using steps analogous to the process of FIG. 29. N-1 sets of pitch data can be calculated from the position data. The pitch data can be fit to nonlinear functions of the three variables x, y, z, calibrated length scales Lx(x,y,z), Ly(x,y,z), and Lz(x,y,z) can be calculated and applied to correct feature position data to entire images.

It will be appreciated by one skilled in the art that the 2- and 3-dimensional calibrated length scales can be used in a real-time process to remove nonlinearities from a closed-loop scanner, by the described approach relative to the 1-dimensional case.

One process related to the complete 3-dimensional process described above is useful on its own. The scanner
artifact of z axis coupling to x and y means that when the
scanner is driven purely along the z axis there is unwanted
horizontal motion. It is important to check the magnitude of
this artifact because it degrades the accuracy of sidewall
slope angle measurements of steep objects such as microli-
thographic features. This artifact can be checked using a test
specimen consisting of a single symmetric 4-sided pyramid,
as illustrated in FIGS. 32 and 33. The sides of the pyramid
may be featureless. This specimen is much simpler and more
readily fabricated than the “wedding cake” structure
described above.

In a prior art method, a “two-point” analysis of z-xy
coupling is accomplished by imaging the pyramid. FIGS. 32
and 33 show top and side view images of such a pyramid.
It is drawn distorted to illustrate the artifact of interest. The
data analysis proceeds as follows: The summit and the four
 corners of the base are located, by visual inspection of the
image at 1-pixel resolution using a cursor on the computer
display. The mean of the x,y coordinates of the 4 corners is
then calculated (this is the center of the pyramid and is
indicated by the circled dot 542). The difference between the
calculated center of the base and the x,y coordinates of the
summit is the xy displacement distance. In addition, the
height of the pyramid is measured. Thus, for a specific z
excursion (the height of the pyramid), a specific xy displace-
ment has been measured. There are two deficiencies in this
method:

  the resolution of feature measurement is limited, so it is
difficult to distinguish among scanners that have relatively
small z-xy couplings
  the information is provided only for one specific z excurs-
on value. No information is provided for intermediate
z excursions. It is known that the magnitude of the
coupling may vary along the z axis. Having more
detailed knowledge would help the user choose the
most favorable DC offset along the axis.

In an improved process of the present invention for
assessing z-xy coupling, the data capture is the same,
consisting of imaging the symmetric pyramid. However, the
data analysis proceeds as follows. By setting arbitrary height
thresholds, the image of the pyramid is partitioned into
several regions (for clarity, only 3 are shown in FIG. 32 and
33). For each region, the x and y coordinates of its centroid
are calculated, as well as the mean z value. The x and y
coordinates are then plotted as a function of these mean z
values. The improved method of the present invention has
two advantages:

  The results are much more precise, so small variations of
  the z-xy coupling can be detected.
  The data analysis is “quasi-continuous”. By partitioning
  the image into more regions we can get progressively
  more detail about the magnitude of coupling and its
  variation along the z axis.

While the invention has been illustrated and described in
detail in the drawings and foregoing description, the same is
to be considered as illustrative and not restrictive in
character, it being understood that only the preferred
embodiment has been shown and described and that all
changes and modifications that come within the spirit of the
invention are desired to be protected.

We claim:

1. A method for high precision calibration and feature
measurement for use with a scanning probe microscope,
comprising the steps of:

   a) scanning a calibration specimen in order to create a
two-dimensional calibration data array;
   b) generating a one-dimensional calibration profile by
      calculating an average cross-section of the calibration
data array;
   c) measuring first feature locations in the calibration
      profile;
   d) creating a calibrated length scale by comparing the first
      feature locations to a known topography of the cali-
      bration specimen;
   e) scanning a measurement specimen in order to create a
      two-dimensional measurement data array;
   f) applying the calibrated length scale to the two-
      dimensional measurement data array in order to correct
      nonlinearities in the measurement data array in at least
      one direction; and
   g) displaying the corrected measurement data array to a
      user.

* * * * *